<u>REMARKS</u>

By the present amendment, the specification has been amended to correct several

apparent typographical errors and/or to improve its presentation. Claim 1-9, 33, 34 and 41

have been amended to obviate the examiner's objections thereto and/or to further clarify

the concepts of the present invention. Specifically, independent claim 1 has been

amended to incorporate the subject matter of allowable claim 9. In addition, claim 17 has

been cancelled. Entry of these amendments is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, review and appropriate revision of the entire specification were

requested. As indicated above, the specification has been amended to correct several

apparent typographical errors and/or to improve its presentation.

Claims 2-9 and 34 were objected to as being informal and a suitable amendment

to these claims was suggested. In response to this objection, the noted claims has been

amended as suggested. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-8 and 41 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by the

patent to Adiletta. In making this rejection, it was asserted that the Adiletta patent teaches

the entire separator as set forth in claims 1-8 and the entire capacitor as set forth in claim

10

Serial Number: 10/849,505

OA dated December 13, 2004

Amdt. dated March 14, 2005

41. Reconsideration of this rejection in view of the above claim amendments and the

following comments is respectfully requested.

As mentioned above, independent claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the

subject matter of allowed claim 9. Thus, it is submitted that the subject rejection is now

moot. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and allowance of

independent claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon over the cited Adiletta patent are

respectfully requested.

Claims 33-37 and 81 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by

Japanese patent publication 7-29560. In making this rejection, it was asserted that the

cited patent publication teaches the entire separator as set forth in claims 33-37 and the

entire method as set forth in claim 81. Reconsideration of this rejection in view of the

above claim amendments and the following comments is respectfully requested.

It is submitted that the cited Japanese patent publication does not teach or suggest

the present invention as defined in independent claims 33 and 81 and the claims

dependent thereon. It is a feature of the subject invention that the electrolyte solution

comprises a hydrophilic organic solvent and aromatic fibers are used as a main component

of the separator as set forth in amended claim 33. This feature contributes to the

improvement of wetability by only dispersing the inorganic compound between the fibers.

11

Serial Number: 10/849,505

OA dated December 13, 2004

Amdt. dated March 14, 2005

A separator having this feature is quite different from the sealed lead acid battery as

disclosed in the cited Japanese patent publication.

For the reasons stated above, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

and allowance of claims 33-37 and 81 over the cited patent publication are respectfully

requested.

Claim 17 was rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

patent to Adiletta. In making this rejection, it was asserted that the Adiletta patent teaches

the separator as set forth in the claim except for the recited surface density. In view of the

cancellation of claim 17 herein, it is submitted that this rejection is moot and withdrawal is

therefore requested.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication that claim 9 was objected

to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the subject application is now in

condition for allowance and early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

12

Serial Number: 10/849,505 OA dated December 13, 2004 Amdt. dated March 14, 2005

In the event this paper is not timely filed, the undersigned hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension may be charged to Deposit Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Donald W. Hanson Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27,133

Atty. Docket No. 040218 Suite 1000,1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930 DWH/rab

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE